The Benefits and Risks of Cannabis and Cannabinoids

Daniel J. Clauw M.D.

dclauw@umich.edu

Professor of Anesthesiology, Medicine (Rheumatology), and Psychiatry Director, Chronic Pain and Fatigue Research Center

The University of Michigan

Disclosures

- Consulting
 - Pfizer, Forest, Eli Lilly, Pierre Fabre, Cypress Biosciences, Wyeth, UCB, AstraZeneca, Merck, J & J, Nuvo, Jazz, Abbott, Cerephex, Iroko, Tonix, Theravance, IMC, Zynerba, Sammumed, Aptinyx
- Research support
 - Pfizer, Cypress Biosciences, Forest, Merck, Nuvo, Cerephex
- Testifying on behalf of State of Oklahoma against opioid manufacturers
- Went to the University of Michigan in the 1970's

- Definitions and Background
- Benefits of Cannabinoids
- Risks of Cannabinoids
- Role in Treating Chronic Pain
- Summary

- Definitions and Background
- Benefits of Cannabinoids
- Risks of Cannabinoids
- Role in Treating Chronic Pain
- Summary


Definitions

- Cannabis A genus of flowering plants with three different species: indica, sativa, and ruderalis
 - Can be bred to have low amounts of psychoactive compounds (e.g. THC) that are used to make hemp, or high amounts that are used for recreational/medicinal purposes
 - Sativex is a oral spray that is a cannabis extract
- Cannabinoid Compounds that act at cannabinoid receptors
 - Endocannabinoids endogenous ligands produced naturally that bind to CB1 and CB2 receptors
 - Phytocannabinoids plant origin (cannabis/marijuana)
 - At least 80 different cannabinoids in cannabis
 - Synthetic cannabinoids

Endocannabinoid system - I

A set of receptors and their naturally occurring ligands and enzymes regulating control

- Receptors G-coupled protein receptors (the most abundant in CNS in man) on presynaptic membrane of cells in peripheral and central nervous system
 - CB1 Primarily in central nervous system (but not in medulla in man) these act primarily to inhibit release of neurotransmitters
 - CB2 Largely found in periphery on immune and nerve cells (although some in CNS on microglia and DRG)
 - Other receptors can bind these ligands because there is activity in CB1/CB2 knockouts (TRPV1, GPR55)



Cannabis-derived cannabinoids

More than 80 known, with different strains having different relative concentrations

- THC (Synthetic forms include Dronabinol, Marinol, Nabilone)
 - The primary psychoactive cannabinoid in cannabis, and its metabolites are those assayed for in drug tests
 - Although it binds relatively equally to both the CB1 and CB2 receptors, most of its effects are associated with CB1 activity in brain
- CBD (cannabidiol)
 - Seems to be generally very well tolerated
 - Is not psychoactive and does not bind with any significant affinity to CB receptors, but yet has anticonvulsant and anti-inflammatory effects
 - Is actually thought to potentially protect against psychoactive effects of THC and hypothesized by some to be an effective anti-psychotic (although a recent Cochrane review concluded there was insufficient evidence of this)

- Definitions and Background
- Benefits of Cannabinoids
- Risks of Cannabinoids
- Role in Treating Chronic Pain
- Summary

Potential Benefits of Cannabinoids

- Antiemetic¹ Marinol is FDA-approved (Schedule III) for use in postchemotherapy nausea/vomiting
- Anorexia Marinol is FDA-approved for this use in AIDS-induced anorexia in US
- Anti-spasticity agent²
- Anticonvulsant³ Focus on CBD effects
- Neuroprotective
 - Being studied in Alzheimer's⁴ because preclinical models show CB1/2 activation leads to reduction in beta-amyloid
 - Retrospective study of patients admitted with severe TBI showed significant reduction in death in those who had a positive drug screen for THC⁵
- Anti-tumor effects⁶

AIDS, acquired immune deficiency syndrome; CB, cannabinoid receptor; CBD, cannabidiol; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; TBI, traumatic brain injury; THC, tetrahydrocannabinol

- Definitions and Background
- Benefits of Cannabinoids
- Risks of Cannabinoids
- Role in Treating Chronic Pain
- Summary

Risks of Cannabinoids


- Almost all available data is from long term recreational users so we probably have good "worst case" data
- Partly related to route of administration
 - Smoking cannabis may lead to chronic bronchitis and potentially cancer of the mouth, throat, lung
 - This is likely reduced or eliminated with use of vaporizers or e-cigarettes
 - Oral administration causes less "likability" than inhalation or smoking and presumably no risk of bronchitis or cancer
 - Individuals using cannabis for medicinal purposes should probably be using an oral formulation but dosing is problematic
- The few deaths associated with cannabis are generally due to severe paranoia or tachycardia associated with overdose via oral administration

Long Term Risks of Cannabinoids¹

- Psychotic illnesses
 - It is now generally accepted that individuals who begin smoking cannabis prior to age 25 have 1.5 – 2.4X the rate of developing a psychotic illness²
 - This risk is modified by childhood trauma, family history of a psychotic illness, and perhaps genetic polymorphisms
- Long term effects on memory and brain structure
 - Both neuropsychological testing, and functional and structural neuroimaging studies, have suggested that individuals who use cannabis recreationally beginning in adolescence have decreased cognitive performance^{1,3}
 - These studies have significant methodological issues because of other common exposures (e.g. alcohol or other illicit drugs) and behavioral issues in these individuals³

Risks of Cannabinoids¹

- Respiratory
- Dependence
 - Occurs in approximately 9% of individuals who use cannabis, but is about double in those who begin using in adolescence
 - This is lower than almost all other drugs of abuse (nicotine 32%, opioids 23%, alcohol 15%)
 - Highest risk in those with poor academic achievement, deviant behavior in childhood, poor parental relationships, family history of substance abuse
 - Physical addiction and withdrawal are much less common/severe than other drugs of abuse



Comparison of classification systems for the harms and risks of drug abuse in the development of the multi-category Nutt rational scale

Correlation between mean scores from the independent experts and the specialist addiction psychiatrists 1=heroin. 2=cocaine. 3=alcohol. 4=barbiturates. 5=amphetamine. 6=methadone. 7=benzodiazepines. 8=solvents. 9=buprenorphine. 10=tobacco. 11=ecstasy. 12=cannabis. 13=LSD. 14=steroids

Is there a link between marijuana and cancer?

- Smoked marijuana delivers THC and other cannabinoids to the body, but it also delivers harmful substances to users and those close by, including many of the same substances found in tobacco smoke, which are harmful to the lungs and cardiovascular system.
- Researchers have found limited evidence of an association between current, frequent, or chronic marijuana smoking and testicular cancer (nonseminoma-type

Cannabis and motor vehicle accidents

- Driving while impaired by any substance, including marijuana, is dangerous. Marijuana, like alcohol, negatively affects a number of skills required for safe driving:
 - Marijuana can slow your reaction time and ability to make decisions.
 - Marijuana use can impair coordination, distort perception, and lead to memory loss and difficulty in problem-solving.
- The risk of impaired driving associated with marijuana in combination with alcohol appears to be greater than that for either by itself.
- Latest statistics in states that have legalized cannabis suggests very small increase in MVA (3%) but no increase in fatalities

- Definitions and Background
- Benefits of Cannabinoids
- Risks of Cannabinoids
- Role in Treating Chronic Pain
- Summary





Systematic reviews with meta-analysis on cannabis-based medicines for chronic pain: a methodological and political minefield

Winfried Häuser^a, Nanna B. Finnerup^{b,c}, R. Andrew Moore^d

been widely advocated, with legalization for recreational and medical use in North America and some European countries. ^{6,8} Legalization of cannabis-based medicines (CBMs) (medical cannabis, plant-based cannabinoids [tetrahydrocannabinol, cannabidiol, and combinations], and synthetic tetrahydrocannabinol analogues) has bypassed usual drug regulatory procedures. ⁶ Systematic reviews with meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) with CBM for chronic pain conditions help determine "post hoc" whether the preconditions of drug agencies for approval were met and to guide physicians and patients.

A systematic review of systematic reviews on CBM highlighted the uncertainty about whether CBMs improve pain, with only low or very low quality evidence available. Individual systematic reviews generally avoided issues of trial quality, usually had some flaws, and included different drugs, doses, durations, conditions, and outcomes. Most reviews agreed that there was no, or no clinically relevant, effect.


In this issue of *PAIN*, Stockings et al.¹² provide the most comprehensive systematic review with meta-analysis of RCTs and observational studies with CBM, including 47 RCTs with 4271 patients with chronic noncancer pain. Study duration ranged between 1 day and 26 weeks. The authors avoid some methodology flaws of some of the systematic reviews mentioned above. They included "gray" literature and used all studies providing data in quantitative analyses. Average pain intensity, 30% and 50% or more pain relief emotional and physical

There remains a methodological minefield, through which we need to step carefully. For example:

- (1) Most studies analysed are of low methodology quality.
- (2) Most studies included fewer than 50 patients per treatment arm. Small CBM studies are often the most positive.
- (3) Short-duration experimental studies (hours, a single day) were included, unhelpful in judging longer-term efficacy.
- (4) Lumping all chronic pain syndromes together does not help in managing individual patients, given the heterogeneity of chronic pain and its mechanisms. Even the importance of subgroup analyses is limited: cancer pain might have nociceptive and/or neuropathic components; neuropathic pain can have many dimensions, and drugs might be effective for some dimensions of neuropathic pain but not for others. Whether heterogeneity of pain mechanisms is relevant for the efficacy of CBM, which are nonspecific centrally acting drugs is, however, unknown.
- (5) Lumping together all CBMs, including experimental drugs unavailable for clinical use, limits the clinical relevance of combined results.
- (6) There is the risk of overestimating the effects of CBM for pain relief because of unpublished studies, for example, with nabilone for chronic neuropathic pain. 10
- (7) Long-term risk and severe but rare side effects are not captured in small, short-duration trials.

What can patients, clinicians, trialists, drug companies, and

Cannabis clinical trials for chronic pain




- Limited: short length and small sample size
 - Many used THC alone or THC + CBD
- Most support for use of cannabinoids in neuropathic pain (THC+CBD).
- Increased risk of short term AEs (mostly minor) for study participants

Anti-inflammatory effects of CBD


- There are many animal models where CBD has been demonstrated to have potent anti-inflammatory effects in a variety of models (including murine collagen arthritis and carrageenan models) but it is much less clear how those anti-inflammatory effects are being mediated
- Some evidence that anti-inflammatory effects might be occurring via CB2 (very high doses needed), adenosine receptors, arachidonic acid release (causes shift from cyclooxygenase to lipoxygenase pathway), via direct inhibition of cytokine production, or via binding to the GPR55 receptor (which has both inflammatory and nociceptive properties)

ZYN002 - Median Weekly Average Worst Knee Pain Score over Time - Males




Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetics




Route of administration influences THC pharmacokinetics, left = 5 mg i.v. injection, center = smoking 13.0 mg, or right =consuming cookie with 20 mg (Agurell et al. 1986).

Feelings of 'high' from different administration routes



U-Shaped Curve for cannabis effects



1. Hill KP. Jama. 2015;313(24):2474. 2. Wallace M, Schulteis G, Atkinson JH, Wolfson T, Lazzaretto D, Bentley H, et al. Anesthesiology. 2007;107(5):785–96. 3. Portenoy RK, Ganae-Motan ED, Allende S, Yanagihara R, Shaiova L, Weinstein S, et al. J Pain. Elsevier Ltd; 2012;13(5):438–49.

Cannabis as an opioid substitute for chronic pain?

- Cannabis as a synergist with opioids?^{1,2}
- State-wide analyses³⁻⁵
 - Importance of Dispensaries in these studies (Powell et al, 2018)
- Cross-sectional⁶⁻⁸ and longitudinal support⁹⁻¹¹



^{1.} Elikottil, Jaseena, et al. *Journal of opioid management* (2009). 2. Abrams et al, *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, (2011). 3. Bachhuber MA et. al. JAMA Int Med (2014). 4. Bradford and Bradford *Health* Affairs, (2016) 5. Bradford and Bradford, *Health* Affairs (2017). 6. Boehnke, Kevin F., Evangelos Litinas, and Daniel J. Clauw. *The Journal of Pain* (2016). 7. Lucas et al, *Journal of International Drug Policy* (2017) 8. Reiman et al, *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research* (2017). 9. Haroutounian et al,. *Clinical Journal of Pain* (2016). 10. Stith et al, *PLOSone* (2017) 11. Abuhasira et al, *European Journal of Internal Medicine*, (2018)

Proposed marketing program for medical cannabis

Cannabis plant talking to opium producing poppy plant



We don't suck as bad as you do



- Definitions and Background
- Benefits of Cannabinoids
- Risks of Cannabinoids
- Role in Treating Chronic Pain
- Summary

Pragmatic Advice for Using Cannabinoids in 2019

- Where possible use a cannabinoid or cannabinoid extract of consistent and known potency
- Start with CBD alone and then go to low dose of low THC:high CBD strain and go up slowly
- Emerging evidence of U-shaped curve
- Oral dosing better once stable dose and strain identified
- The strongest recommendation based on current benefit: risk data is for the use of cannabinoids instead of opioids for neuropathic or centralized pain states
 - Data from US suggest that legalizing cannabis in a state leads to fairly dramatic reductions in opioid overdoses¹
- Use with caution in individuals under age 25